



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N		
10/671,300	09/24/2003	David Holden	DH-001 8019		
7590 05/11/2004			EXAMINER		
Dane C. Butzer			LE, TAN		
681 Woodduck Ct. Columbus, OH 43215			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3632		
			DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		
,		10/671,30	0	HOLDEN, DAVID		
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Tan Le		3632		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE - Exte afte: - If th - If NO - Fail Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 re SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ation. lys, a reply within the statu ry period will apply and wil by statute, cause the appl	int, however, may a reply be tim itory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>24 September 2</u>	<u>003</u> .			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☑ This action is no	on-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice u	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are version claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction as	vithdrawn from cor				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.				
10))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	937 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. ,		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	cuments have beer cuments have beer he priority docume Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen			лП.,	(DTO 442)		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date			atent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/671,300

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claim1-16 and 20, drawn to a clip, classified in class 248, subclass 316.7.
 - II. Claims 17-19, drawn to a method of using a clip, classified in class 428, subclass 100.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another rmaterial different apparatus such as a hook having attachment means on one side being placed on a wall or the like.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

The species of Figs. 3-6

The species of Fig. 7

Art Unit: 3632

The species of Fig. 8

The species of Fig. 9

The species of Fig. 10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 20 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,300

Art Unit: 3632

Page 4

5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Dane Butzer on April 30, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-8244.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:00-6:00 and alternating Mon..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached on (703) 308-2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

16

Tan Le May 04, 2004.

LESLIE A. BRAUN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED